

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2005

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 07/25/2003 David M. Dobuzinsky FIS920030083US1 1487 10/604,488 EXAMINER 32074 ·7590 02/14/2005 INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION MAI, ANH D DEPT. 18G **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER BLDG. 300-482 2070 ROUTE 52 2814 HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AV
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	70
Office Action Summary	10/604,488	DOBUZINSKY E1	AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anh D. Mai	2814	*
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period works - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja	anuary 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 6-20 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-20 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 6-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. Amendment filed January 13, 2005 has been entered. Claims 3 and 5 have been cancelled. Claim 1 has been amended. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-20 are pending. Non-elected invention, claims 11-20 have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The amended claims 1 recites: "a Si-C barrier layer containing silicon-carbon bonds that does not have the structure of silicon carbide".

What is the silicon-carbon bonds structure that does not have the structure of silicon carbide?

What is the silicon-carbon bonds structure?

What is the silicon carbide structure?

How are these structures different?

Since the claims recite a structure different but does not provide for the basis of the differences, thus, the claim is indefinite.

Art Unit: 2814

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsunashima et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,326,658).

With respect to claim 1, as best understood by the examiner, Tsunashima teaches a deep trench capacitor in a monocrystalline semiconductor substrate as claimed including:

- (i) a buried plate (6) in the substrate (1) about an exterior portion of a trench (3) in the substrate (1);
 - (ii) a node dielectric (7) about at least a lower interior portion of trench (3);
 - (iii) a trench electrode (8) in the trench (3); and
- (iv) a conductive strap (11) disposed between and electrically connected to the trench electrode (8) and the monocrystalline substrate (1),

the capacitor of Tsunashima further comprises:

(v) a Si-C barrier layer (10) containing silicon-carbon bonds that does not have the structure of silicon carbide between the monocrystalline substrate (1) and the conductive strap (11). (See Fig. 1).

Note that, "silicon carbide" is a common name given to a Si-C material, or silicon oxide to Si-O material, or silicon nitride to Si-N.

Since the Si-C barrier layer 10 of Tsunashima is formed by passivating the silicon surface using C₂H₄, the structure of the Si-C barrier of Tsunashima meet the limitation of the claim.

Product by process limitation:

The expression "said Si-C barrier layer having been formed in the course of a plasma-assisted etch of an oxide layer adjacent to said monocrystalline substrate." is/are taken to be a product by process limitation and is given no patentable weight. A product by process claim directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, *In re Hirao*, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See *In re Fessman*, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1974); *In re Marosi et al.*, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Brown*, 459 F.2d 531, 535, 173 USPQ 685, 688 (CCPA 1972); *In re Pilkington*, 411 F.2d 1345, 1348, 162 USPQ 145, 147 (CCPA 1969); *Buono v. Yankee Maid Dress Corp.*, 77 F.2d 274, 279, 26 USPQ 57, 61 (2d. Cir. 1935); and particularly *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985), all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final structure of the product "gleaned" from the process steps, which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process. See also MPEP 2113. Moreover, an old and obvious product produced by a new method is not a patentable product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not.

Note that Applicant has burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear.

With respect to claim 2, capacitor of Tsunashima further comprises an oxide collar (9) about an upper interior region of the trench (3) and disposed below the conductive strap (11).

Application/Control Number: 10/604,488

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claims 4 and 10, the Si-C barrier layer (10) of Tsunashima is located at an interface between the conductive strap (11) and the monocrystalline substrate (1).

With respect to claim 6, the Si-C barrier layer (10) of Tsunashima has a thickness of about 10nm.

With respect to claim 7, the conductive strap (11) of Tsunashima is a buried strap.

With respect to claim 9, the trench electrode (8) of Tsunashima comprises doped polycrystalline silicon.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsunashima '658 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Chaloux et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,194,736) of record.

Tsunashima teaches the buried strap 11 can be formed by filling an amorphous silicon film in trench 3 and changing the amorphous silicon film into a polysilicon film.

Thus, Tsunashima is shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of using amorphous silicon for the conductive strap.

However, Chaloux teaches that the buried strap or surface strap using amorphous silicon are well known in the art. (See col. 1, lines 26-41).

Art Unit: 2814

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use amorphous silicon for the conductive strap of Tsunashima as taught by Chaloux without departing from the scope of the invention.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2814

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh D. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1710. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anh D. Mai

February 7, 2005